



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,149	12/14/2001	Paul A. Flaherty	M-8631 US	1681

7590 08/27/2003

GARY S. WILLIAMS  
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP  
3300 HILLVIEW AVENUE  
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER

ELISCA, PIERRE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. <b>10/022,149</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Paul A. Flaherty</b>
	Examiner <b>Pierre E. Elisca</b>	Art Unit <b>3621</b>
		
-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --		
<b>Period for Reply</b>		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>THREE</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>		
<b>Status</b>		
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/14/2001</u>		
2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL.      2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.		
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
<b>Disposition of Claims</b>		
4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.		
6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.		
8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
<b>Application Papers</b>		
9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) <input type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. <p style="margin-left: 20px;">Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).</p>		
11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. <p style="margin-left: 20px;">If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.</p>		
12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
<b>Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120</b>		
13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some* c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.</li> <li>3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>		
<p style="margin-left: 20px;">*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</p>		
14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</p>		
15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
<b>Attachment(s)</b>		
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____		
4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____		
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		

**Art Unit: 3621**



**Examiner Pierre Eddy Elisca**  
**United States Department of Commerce**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
**Washington, D.C. 20231**

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to Application No. 10/022,149, filed on 12/14/2001.
  
2. Claims 1-22 are pending.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Hendrey et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,542,750) in view of Kubon (6,135,354).

**Art Unit: 3621**

As per claims 1-6 and 8-9 Hendrey substantially discloses a method/system for selectively connecting proximately located telecommunications units (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a communication application executable on a network), comprising: a client process executable on a processor in a two-way communication device, the two-way communication device (see., abstract, fig 1, col 2, lines 40-67, col 5, lines 26-67, col 6, lines 1-67, specifically Mus 101a-c); a server process executable on a processor communicatively coupled over the network to the client process (see., abstract, figs 1 and 3, col 7, lines 61-67, col 8, lines 1-49); and coupon depository or storage coupled to the server process capable of storing a plurality of coupons (see., col 15, lines 30-53, specifically scenario 1, database 143, e-coupons). It is to be noted that Hendrey fails to explicitly disclose a display screen capable of displaying a barcode image. However, Kubon discloses a barcode image processing system which processes video signals including video data representing images of barcode labels to be decoded and verified. The image of the barcode label can be displayed on the video camera (or telephone) see., abstract, col 7, lines 13-41, fig 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile communications of Hendrey by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Kubon because such modification would verify and report unauthorized users within the telecommunication network.

Art Unit: 3621

**As per claim 7, Hendrey** discloses the claimed limitations wherein the two-way communication device is selected from among a group consisting of cellular telephones, pagers, and palm-held computers (see., abstract, fig 1, col 2, lines 40-67, col 5, lines 26-67, col 6, lines 1-67, specifically Mus 101a-c).

**As per claims 10-12 and 14-21** Hendrey substantially discloses a method/system for selectively connecting proximately located telecommunications units (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a communication application executable on a network), comprising:

a client process executable on a processor in a two-way communication device, the two-way communication device (see., abstract, fig 1, col 2, lines 40-67, col 5, lines 26-67, col 6, lines 1-67, specifically Mus 101a-c);

an interactive input process capable of receiving input signals from the two-way communication device (see., abstract, figs 1 and 3, col 7, lines 61-67, col 8, lines 1-49);

a communication initiating process responsive to the input signals for sending application initiation signals to the server process via the network (see., abstract, figs 1 and 3, col 7, lines 61-67, col 8, lines 1-49); and

a communication receiving process responsive to communication signals from the process for receiving coupon information from the server process (see., col 15, lines 30-53, specifically scenario 1, database 143, e-coupons). It is to be noted that Hendrey fails to explicitly disclose a display screen capable of displaying a barcode image. However, Kubon discloses a barcode image processing system

**Art Unit: 3621**

which processes video signals including video data representing images of barcode labels to be decoded and verified. The image of the barcode label can be displayed on the video camera (or telephone) see., abstract, col 7, lines 13-41, fig 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mobile communications of Hendrey by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Kubon because such modification would verify and report unauthorized users within the telecommunication network.

**As per claims 13 and 22, Hendrey** discloses the claimed limitations wherein the two-way communication device is selected from among a group consisting of cellular telephones, pagers, and palm-held computers (see., abstract, fig 1, col 2, lines 40-67, col 5, lines 26-67, col 6, lines 1-67, specifically Mus 101a-c).

***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM. to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

**Serial Number: 10/022,149**

**Page 6**

**Art Unit: 3621**

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

**(703) 305-7687**



Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

**August 19, 2003**